arXiv:1609.00885v1 [math.PR] 4 Sep 2016

HARNACK INEQUALITIES FOR SDES DRIVEN BY TIME-CHANGED FRACTIONAL BROWNIAN MOTIONS

CHANG-SONG DENG AND RENÉ L. SCHILLING

Abstract. We establish Harnack inequalities for stochastic differential equations (SDEs) driven by a time-changed fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1/2)$. The Harnack inequality is dimension-free if the SDE has a drift which satisfies a one-sided Lipschitz condition; otherwise we still get Harnack-type estimates, but the constants will, in general, depend on the space dimension. Our proof is based on a coupling argument and a regularization argument for the time-change.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space. Consider the following d-dimensional SDE

$$X_t(x) = x + \int_0^t b_s\big(X_s(x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s + U_t, \quad t \geq 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1}$$

where $b : [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is measurable, locally bounded in the time variable $t \geq 0$ and continuous in the space variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$; the driving noise $U = (U_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a locally bounded measurable process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ starting at zero $U_0 = 0$. Let us assume, for the time being, that this SDE has a unique non-explosive solution.

In this paper, we want to establish for the solution to the SDE (1) a dimension-free Harnack inequality with power, first introduced by Wang [17] for diffusions on Riemannian manifolds, and a log-Harnack inequality, considered in [16] for semi-linear SDEs. Both, the power-Harnack and log-Harnack inequalities have been thoroughly studied for various finite- and infinite-dimensional SDEs and SPDEs driven by Brownian noise; the main tool was a coupling method and the Girsanov transformation, see [18] and the references mentioned there. If the noise is a jump process, it is usually very difficult to construct a successful coupling, and the methods from diffusion processes cannot be directly applied. One exception are drivers which are subordinate diffusion processes.

Let $\Sigma : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ be a measurable and locally bounded deterministic function, and assume that U is of the following form:

$$U_t = \int_0^t \Sigma_{s-}\,\mathrm{d}W_{S(s)} + V_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60H10, 60G15.

Key words and phrases. Harnack inequality, fractional Brownian motion, random time-change, stochastic differential equation.

The first-named author gratefully acknowledges support through the Alexander-von-Humboldt foundation, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11401442) and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (20130141120036). Part of this work was completed during his stay at TU Dresden as Humboldt fellow. He is grateful for the hospitality and the excellent working conditions.

where $W = (W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard d-dimensional Brownian motion, $S = (S(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a subordinator (i.e. a non-decreasing process on $[0,\infty)$ with stationary and independent increments a.k.a. increasing Lévy process) and $V = (V_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a locally bounded $(\mathscr{B}[0,\infty)\otimes\mathscr{A}/\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$-)measurable process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $V_0 = 0$; we will, in addition, assume that the processes W, S and V are stochastically independent.

For such drivers, Wang & Wang [19] were able to obtain Harnack and log-Harnack inequalities, using an approximation of the subordinator (as in [21]) and a coupling argument. The following assumptions turned out to be crucial: The coefficient b has to satisfy a so-called one-sided Lipschitz condition, i.e. there exists a locally bounded measurable function $k : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle b_t(x) - b_t(y), x-y\rangle \leq k(t)|x-y|^2, \quad x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d,\ t\geq 0; \tag{H}$$

moreover, Σ_t^{-1} exists for each $t \geq 0$, and there exists a non-decreasing function $\lambda : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that $\|\Sigma_t^{-1}\| \leq \lambda_t$ for all $t \geq 0$.

The first-named author used in [6] the same approximation argument and a gradient estimate approach, in order to improve the Harnack inequalities derived in [19]; note that in [6] the function λ is only assumed to be measurable and not necessarily non-decreasing. Recently, in [20] the approximation argument was also used to establish Harnack type inequalities for SDEs with non-Lipschitz drift and anisotropic subordinate Brownian noise, i.e. with U having the form

$$U_t = \big(W^{(1)}_{S^{(1)}(t)}, \dots, W^{(d)}_{S^{(d)}(t)}\big), \quad t\geq 0,$$

where $\big(W^{(1)},\dots,W^{(d)}\big)$ is a standard Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $\big(S^{(1)},\dots,S^{(d)}\big)$ is an independent d-dimensional Lévy process such that each coordinate process $S^{(i)}$ is a subordinator. Unfortunately, this gives only dimension-dependent Harnack inequalities. Note that the techniques of [19, 6, 20] do not really need that the time-change is a subordinator; we may, as we do here, assume that the time-change is any non-decreasing process on $[0,\infty)$ starting from zero and which is independent of the original process.

It is a natural question to ask whether one can still get Harnack-type inequalities if the driving noise U is a more general, maybe non-Markovian, process. As far as we know, Harnack inequalities were established in [8, 10, 9] for SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motions. Inspired by these papers as well as [19, 6], we will combine general time-change and coupling arguments to obtain Harnack inequalities for SDEs driven by time-changed fractional Brownian motions.

Recall that a fractional Brownian motion $W^H = (W^H_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1)$ is a self-similar, mean-zero Gaussian process with stationary increments. The covariance function is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\big(W^{H,i}_t W^{H,j}_s\big) = \frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right)\delta_{ij}, \quad t,s\geq 0,\ 1\leq i,j\leq d, \tag{2}$$

(δ_{ij} denotes Kronecker's delta). If $H = 1/2$, then W^H is the classical Brownian motion which will be denoted as W; if $H \neq 1/2$, then W^H does not have independent increments. One can deduce from (2) that W^H is self-similar with index H, i.e. for any constant $c > 0$, the processes $(W^H_{ct})_{t\geq 0}$ and $(c^H W^H_t)_{t\geq 0}$ have the same finite dimensional distributions. Let $Z = (Z(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a non-decreasing process on $[0,\infty)$ starting from 0, independent of W^H, and introduce the (random) time-changed process $W^H_Z = (W^H_{Z(t)})_{t\geq 0}$. Typically, Z can be a subordinator or the inverse of a subordinator; since inverse subordinators are constant on some random intervals,

W_Z^H is sometimes called a 'delayed' fractional Brownian motion. We refer to [12] for small deviation probabilities of time-changed fractional Brownian motions, while [13, 11] consider large deviations of fractional Brownian motions delayed by inverse α-stable subordinators.

Assume that $U = W_Z^H + V$ where V is a locally bounded measurable process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ starting from zero $V_0 = 0$. In this paper, we restrict ourselves to the case $H \in (0, 1/2)$. In order to ensure the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the SDE (1) and to construct a successful coupling, we assume that the coefficient b satisfies the one-sided Lipschitz condition (H). As a direct consequence of the log-Harnack inequality, we obtain a gradient estimate for the associated Markov semigroup.

As in [20], we can also deal with the anisotropic case, i.e.

$$U_t = \big(W^{H_1,1}_{Z^{(1)}(t)}, \dots, W^{H_d,d}_{Z^{(d)}(t)}\big) + V_t, \quad , t \geq 0,$$

where, for each $i = 1, \dots, d$, $W^{H_i,i} = (W_t^{H_i,i})_{t\geq 0}$ is a real-valued fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $H_i \in (0, 1/2)$, $Z^{(i)} = (Z^{(i)}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a one-dimensional non-decreasing process such that $Z^{(i)}(0) = 0$, and $V = (V_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a locally bounded measurable process with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $V_0 = 0$; moreover, we assume that these processes are independent. As in [20], we replace the Lipschitz condition for the drift coefficient b by a Yamada–Watanabe type condition, which is, in general, incomparable with the one-sided Lipschitz condition.

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. We collect some basics on fractional Brownian motions in Section 2. In Section 3 we establish the Harnack inequalities for SDEs driven by a time-changed fractional Brownian motion and with drift coefficient satisfying the one-sided Lipschitz condition (H). As a direct consequence of the log-Harnack inequality we get a gradient estimate for the corresponding transition semigroup. More explicit expressions in the Harnack and log-Harnack inequalities are obtained if the time-change Z is (the inverse of) a subordinator; this is a consequence of our moment estimates from [7]; if Z is the inverse of a subordinator, only the log-Harnack inequality holds, since the exponential moment of $Z(t)^{-\theta}$ is usually infinite for $\theta > 0$. The last section is devoted to case of an anisotropic driving noise; as one would expect from [20], the Harnack inequalities turn out to be dimension-dependent.

2. Basics of fractional Brownian motion

In this section, we recall briefly some basic facts on fractional Brownian motion (fBM) which will be used later on. For further details of fBM and proofs we refer the readers, for instance, to [2, 5] or [14].

Denote by $\Gamma(\cdot)$, resp., $B(\cdot,\cdot)$, the Euler Gamma and Beta functions, and write ${}_2F_1$ for Gauss' hypergeometric function. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$. For $f \in L^1[a, b]$ and $\alpha > 0$, the left fractional Riemann-Liouville integral of f of order α on (a, b) is given by the expression

$$I^\alpha_{a+} f(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x (x - y)^{\alpha-1} f(y)\, \mathrm{d}y, \quad x \in (a, b).$$

Let $W^H = (W_t^H)_{t\geq 0}$ be a fractional Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1/2)\cup(1/2,1)$ and define for $0<s<t$ the kernel

$$\mathcal{K}_H(t,s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(H+\frac{1}{2}\right)}(t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}{}_2F_1\left(H-\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{1}{2}-H,H+\tfrac{1}{2},1-\tfrac{t}{s}\right)$$

Fix $T>0$. It is known that the integral operator $\mathcal{K}_H f(t) := \int_0^t \mathcal{K}_H(s,t)f(s)\,ds$ is well-defined on $L^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$ and defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{K}_H : L^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d) \to I_{0+}^{H+1/2}(L^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d))$. Moreover, fractional Brownian motion has the following integral representation with respect to the usual d-dimensional standard Brownian motion $W=(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$:

$$W_t^H = \int_0^t \mathcal{K}_H(t,s)\,\mathrm{d}W_s.$$

In particular, if $0<H<\frac{1}{2}$ and $h \in I_{0+}^{H+1/2}(L^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d))$ is absolutely continuous, the inverse operator is given by

$$(\mathcal{K}_H^{-1}h)(s) = s^{H-1/2}I_{0+}^{1/2-H}s^{1/2-H}h'(s), \tag{3}$$

cf. [15, Eq. (13), p. 108].

3. SDEs driven by delayed fractional Brownian motions

Consider the following SDE on $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$X_t(x) = x + \int_0^t b_s\big(X_s(x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s + W_{Z(t)}^H + V_t, \quad t\geq 0,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d, \tag{4}$$

where $b:[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$, $(t,x)\mapsto b_t(x)$ is measurable, locally bounded as a function of $t\geq 0$ and continuous in x. The processes $W^H=(W_t^H)_{t\geq 0}$, $Z=(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and $V=(V_t)_{t\geq 0}$ are stochastically independent and satisfy

$$\begin{cases} W^H \text{ is a fBM on } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ with Hurst parameter } H\in(0,1/2);\\ Z \text{ is a time-change, i.e. a non-decreasing process on } [0,\infty) \text{ with } Z(0)=0;\\ V \text{ is a locally bounded measurable process on } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ with } V_0=0. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Moreover, we assume that the coefficient b satisfies the one-sided Lipschitz condition (H).

Throughout this section, we write $|x| := \left(|x^{(1)}|^2+\cdots+|x^{(d)}|^2\right)^{1/2}$ for the Euclidean norm of $x=\left(x^{(1)},\ldots,x^{(d)}\right)\in\mathbb{R}^d$. It is easy to see that under (H), there exists a unique non-explosive solution to the SDE (4). Set

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}f(X_t(x)), \quad t\geq 0,\ f\in\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d),\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d. \tag{6}$$

3.1. Statement of the main result.

In order to state our main result, we need the following notation:

$$K(t) := \int_0^t k(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \quad t\geq 0, \tag{7}$$

where $k(s)$ is the constant appearing in (H),

$$\Theta_H := \frac{1}{4(1-H)}\left(\frac{B\left(\frac{3}{2}-H,\frac{1}{2}-H\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-H\right)}\right)^2, \tag{8}$$

and we denote for any function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ the local Lipschitz constant at the point x by

$$|\nabla f|(x) := \limsup_{y\to x}\frac{|f(y)-f(x)|}{|y-x|}\in[0,\infty],\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Denote by $X_t(x)$ the unique solution of the SDE (4), and assume that (5) and (H) hold.*

i) *For $T>0$, $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and any bounded Borel function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to[1,\infty)$*

$$P_T\log f(y)\le \log P_Tf(x)+\Theta_H\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Z(T)^{2-2H}}{\big(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}Z(t)\big)^2}\right]|x-y|^2.$$

ii) *For $T>0$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and any bounded Borel function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$*

$$|\nabla P_Tf|^2(x)\le\Big\{P_Tf^2(x)-\big(P_Tf(x)\big)^2\Big\}2\Theta_H\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Z(T)^{2-2H}}{\big(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}Z(t)\big)^2}\right].$$

iii) *For $T>0$, $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $p>1$ and any bounded Borel function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to[0,\infty)$*

$$\big(P_Tf(y)\big)^p\le P_Tf^p(x)\cdot\left(\mathbb{E}\exp\left[\frac{\frac{p\Theta_H}{(p-1)^2}Z(T)^{2-2H}|x-y|^2}{\big(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}Z(t)\big)^2}\right]\right)^{p-1}.$$

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** For the proof of Theorem 3.1, we need a few preparations. Let $\ell:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ be a non-decreasing and càdlàg function with $\ell(0)=0$, and $v:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ a locally bounded measurable function with $v(0)=0$. Because of (H), the following SDE has a unique non-explosive solution

$$X_t^{\ell,v}(x)=x+\int_0^t b_s\big(X_s^{\ell,v}(x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s+W^H_{\ell(t)}+v_t,\quad t\ge0,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d. \tag{9}$$

Set for any bounded Borel function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$

$$P_t^{\ell,v}f(x):=\mathbb{E}f\big(X_t^{\ell,v}(x)\big),\quad t\ge0,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d. \tag{10}$$

We want to transform the equation (9) into an SDE driven by a fractional Brownian motion which will allow us to establish Harnack inequalities using a combination of coupling and the Girsanov transformation, cf. [8, 10, 9]. First, however, we have to approximate the (deterministic) time-change ℓ by an absolutely continuous function. Consider the following regularization of ℓ:

$$\ell^\epsilon(t):=\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_t^{t+\epsilon}\ell(s)\,\mathrm{d}s+\epsilon t=\int_0^1\ell(\epsilon s+t)\,\mathrm{d}s+\epsilon t,\quad t\ge0,\ \epsilon\in(0,1).$$

By construction, for each $\epsilon\in(0,1)$ the function ℓ^ϵ is absolutely continuous, strictly increasing and satisfies for any $t\ge0$

$$\ell^\epsilon(t)\downarrow\ell(t)\quad\text{as }\epsilon\downarrow0. \tag{11}$$

Let $X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)$ be the unique non-explosive solution to the SDE

$$X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)=x+\int_0^t b_s\big(X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s+W^H_{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}+v_t,\quad t\ge0,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d, \tag{12}$$

and define $P^{\ell^\epsilon,v}$ by (10) with ℓ^ϵ instead of ℓ.

Lemma 3.2. *Fix $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and let ℓ^ϵ and $X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)$ be as above; in particular we assume* (H).

i) *For $T>0$, $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and any bounded Borel function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to[1,\infty)$*

$$P_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}\log f(y)\le \log P_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}f(x)+\frac{\Theta_H[\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)]^{2-2H}}{\big(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell^\epsilon(t)\big)^2}|x-y|^2.$$

ii) *For $T>0$, $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $p>1$ and any bounded Borel function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to[0,\infty)$*

$$\big(P_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}f(y)\big)^p\le P_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}f^p(x)\cdot\exp\left[\frac{\frac{p\Theta_H}{p-1}[\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)]^{2-2H}|x-y|^2}{\big(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell^\epsilon(t)\big)^2}\right].$$

Proof. Fix $T>0$, $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and denote by $(Y_t)_{t\ge0}$ a solution of the equation

$$Y_t=y+\int_0^t b_s(Y_s)\,\mathrm{d}s+W^H_{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}+v_t+\xi\int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau)}(s)\frac{X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)-Y_s}{|X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)-Y_s|}\,\mathrm{d}\ell^\epsilon(s), \tag{13}$$

where

$$\xi:=\frac{|x-y|}{\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(r)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell^\epsilon(r)}$$

and

$$\tau:=\inf\big\{t\ge0\,:\,X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)=Y_t\big\}$$

is the coupling time. Since

$$\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d\ni(z,z')\mapsto \mathbb{1}_{\{z\ne z'\}}\frac{z-z'}{|z-z'|}\in\mathbb{R}^d$$

is locally Lipschitz continuous off the diagonal, the coupling $(X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x),Y_t)$ is well-defined and unique for $t\in[0,\tau)$. If $\tau<\infty$, we set $Y_t=X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)$ for all $t\ge\tau$. In this way, we can construct a unique solution $(Y_t)_{t\ge0}$ to (13).

Let us show that the coupling time satisfies $\tau\le T$. Let $t<\tau$ and observe that $\mathrm{d}|\eta|=\mathbb{1}_{\{\eta\ne0\}}|\eta|^{-1}\langle\eta,\mathrm{d}\eta\rangle$; therefore, the differential versions of (12) and (13) together with (H) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&|X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)-Y_t|\mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\\
&=|x-y|+\int_0^t\frac{1}{|X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)-Y_s|}\big\langle X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)-Y_s,\,b_s(X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x))-b_s(Y_s)\big\rangle\,\mathrm{e}^{-K(s)}\,\mathrm{d}s\\
&\qquad-\xi\int_0^t\mathrm{e}^{-K(s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell^\epsilon(s)-\int_0^t|X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)-Y_s|k(s)\mathrm{e}^{-K(s)}\,\mathrm{d}s\\
&\le|x-y|-\xi\int_0^t\mathrm{e}^{-K(s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell^\epsilon(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $\tau>T$. Taking $t=T$ in the above inequality, we get

$$|X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)-Y_T|\mathrm{e}^{-K(T)}\le|x-y|-|x-y|=0,$$

and so $X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)=Y_T$. But this is a contradiction to the assumption $\tau>T$. Therefore, we have $\tau\le T$ and $X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)=Y_T$.

Denote by $\gamma^\epsilon:[\ell^\epsilon(0),\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ the inverse function of ℓ^ϵ. By definition, $\ell^\epsilon(\gamma^\epsilon(t))=t$ for $t\ge\ell^\epsilon(0)$, $\gamma^\epsilon(\ell^\epsilon(t))=t$ for $t\ge0$, and $t\mapsto\gamma^\epsilon(t)$ is absolutely

continuous and strictly increasing. Let $W = (W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a d-dimensional standard Brownian motion and define

$$\widetilde{W}_t := W_t + \int_0^t \eta_s \,\mathrm{d}s, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\eta_s := \mathcal{K}_H^{-1}\left(\int_0^\bullet g_r \,\mathrm{d}r\right)(s)$, $s \geq 0$, and

$$g_r := \xi \mathbb{1}_{[0,\ell^\epsilon(\tau)-\ell^\epsilon(0))}(r) \frac{X^{\ell^\epsilon,v}_{\gamma^\epsilon(r+\ell^\epsilon(0))}(x) - Y_{\gamma^\epsilon(r+\ell^\epsilon(0))}}{|X^{\ell^\epsilon,v}_{\gamma^\epsilon(r+\ell^\epsilon(0))}(x) - Y_{\gamma^\epsilon(r+\ell^\epsilon(0))}|}, \quad r \geq 0.$$

The following stochastic integral defines a martingale

$$M_t := -\int_0^t \langle \eta_s, \mathrm{d}W_s\rangle, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Because of (3) we see

$$\mathcal{K}_H^{-1}\left(\int_0^\bullet g_r \,\mathrm{d}r\right)(s) = s^{H-\frac{1}{2}} I_{0+}^{\frac{1}{2}-H} s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} g_s,$$

and this yields for any $s \in [0, \ell^\epsilon(T) - \ell^\epsilon(0)]$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\eta_s| &= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-H\right)} s^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^s r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}(s-r)^{-H-\frac{1}{2}} g_r \,\mathrm{d}r \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\xi}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-H\right)} s^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^s r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}(s-r)^{-H-\frac{1}{2}} \,\mathrm{d}r \\
&= \frac{B\left(\frac{3}{2}-H, \frac{1}{2}-H\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-H\right)\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell^\epsilon(t)} |x-y|\, s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \\
&=: C_{T,H}|x-y|\, s^{\frac{1}{2}-H}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the compensator of the martingale M satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle M\rangle_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} &= \int_0^{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} |\eta_s|^2 \,\mathrm{d}s \\
&\leq C_{T,H}^2 |x-y|^2 \int_0^{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} s^{1-2H} \,\mathrm{d}s \\
&= \frac{C_{T,H}^2 |x-y|^2}{2(1-H)} \left[\ell^\epsilon(T) - \ell^\epsilon(0)\right]^{2-2H}.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Set

$$R := \exp\left[M_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} - \frac{1}{2}\langle M\rangle_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}\right].$$

Using Novikov's criterion we get $\mathbb{E}R = 1$, and by the Girsanov theorem, the process $(\widetilde{W}_t)_{0\leq t\leq \ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}$ is a d-dimensional Brownian motion under the new probability measure $R\mathbb{P}$. This allows us to rewrite (12) and (13) as

$$X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x) = x + \int_0^t b_s\big(X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} \mathcal{K}_H\left(\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0), s\right) \mathrm{d}W_s + v_t$$

and

$$Y_t = y + \int_0^t b_s(Y_s)\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} \mathcal{K}_H\left(\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0), s\right) \mathrm{d}\widetilde{W}_s + v_t,$$

respectively. Thus, the distribution of $(X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(y))_{0\le t\le T}$ under $\mathbb{P}$ coincides with the law of $(Y_t)_{0\le t\le T}$ under $R\mathbb{P}$; in particular, we get for all bounded Borel functions $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}f\big(X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(y)\big)=\mathbb{E}_{R\mathbb{P}}f(Y_T)=\mathbb{E}\left[Rf(Y_T)\right]=\mathbb{E}\big[Rf\big(X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big)\big]. \tag{15}$$

By the Jensen inequality, we get for any random variable $F\ge 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[R\log\frac{F}{R}\right]=\mathbb{E}_{R\mathbb{P}}\left[\log\frac{F}{R}\right]\le\log\mathbb{E}_{R\mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{F}{R}\right]=\log\mathbb{E}F,$$

hence

$$\mathbb{E}\left[R\log F\right]\le\log\mathbb{E}F+\mathbb{E}\left[R\log R\right].$$

Combining this with (15) and the observation that we have

$$\begin{aligned}\log R&=-\int_0^{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}\langle\eta_s,\mathrm{d}W_s\rangle-\frac12\int_0^{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}|\eta_s|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\&=-\int_0^{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}\langle\eta_s,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{W}_s\rangle+\frac12\langle M\rangle_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}\\&\le-\int_0^{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}\langle\eta_s,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{W}_s\rangle+\frac{C_{T,H}^2|x-y|^2}{4(1-H)}\left[\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)\right]^{2-2H},\end{aligned}$$

we get for all bounded Borel functions $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to[1,\infty)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}\log f(y)&=\mathbb{E}\log f\big(X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(y)\big)\\&=\mathbb{E}\big[R\log f\big(X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big)\big]\\&\le\log\mathbb{E}f\big(X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big)+\mathbb{E}[R\log R]\\&=\log P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}f(x)+\mathbb{E}_{R\mathbb{P}}\log R\\&\le\log P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}f(x)+\frac{C_{T,H}^2|x-y|^2}{4(1-H)}\left[\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)\right]^{2-2H}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the log-Harnack inequality.

Let us now prove part ii) of the Lemma. For any bounded Borel function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to[0,\infty)$ we find with (15) and the Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\big(P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}f(y)\big)^p&=\big(\mathbb{E}f\big(X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(y)\big)\big)^p\\&=\big(\mathbb{E}\big[Rf\big(X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big)\big]\big)^p\\&\le P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}f^p(x)\cdot\big(\mathbb{E}[R^{p/(p-1)}]\big)^{p-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

Using (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
R^{p/(p-1)} &= \exp\left[\frac{p}{p-1}M_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} - \frac{p}{2(p-1)}\langle M\rangle_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}\right] \\
&= \exp\left[\frac{p}{2(p-1)^2}\langle M\rangle_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}\right] \\
&\qquad \times \exp\left[\frac{p}{p-1}M_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} - \frac{p^2}{2(p-1)^2}\langle M\rangle_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}\right] \\
&\le \exp\left[\frac{pC_{T,H}^2|x-y|^2}{4(p-1)^2(1-H)}\left[\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)\right]^{2-2H}\right] \\
&\qquad \times \exp\left[\frac{p}{p-1}M_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} - \frac{p^2}{2(p-1)^2}\langle M\rangle_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

This, and the fact that $\exp\left[\frac{p}{p-1}M_{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} - \frac{p^2}{2(p-1)^2}\langle M\rangle_{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}\right]$, $0 \le t \le T$, is a martingale with mean 1 – this is due to Novikov's criterion – we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[R^{p/(p-1)}\right] \le \exp\left[\frac{pC_{T,H}^2|x-y|^2}{4(p-1)^2(1-H)}\left[\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)\right]^{2-2H}\right].$$

Inserting this expression into (16), completes the proof of the power-Harnack inequality. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By [1, Proposition 2.3], ii) is a direct consequence of i).

Fix $T > 0$. By a standard approximation argument, it is enough to prove Theorem the formulae in i) and iii) for $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Step 1: Assume that $b_t : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is, uniformly for t in compact intervals, a global Lipschitz function, i.e. for any $t > 0$ there is some $C_t > 0$ such that

$$|b_s(x) - b_s(y)| \le C_t|x-y|, \quad 0 \le s \le t,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{17}$$

This implies that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\epsilon \in (0,1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x) - X_T^{\ell,v}(x)\right| &\le \int_0^T \left|b_s\big(X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big) - b_s\big(X_s^{\ell,v}(x)\big)\right| \mathrm{d}s + \left|W^H_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} - W^H_{\ell(T)}\right| \\
&\le C_T \int_0^T \left|X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x) - X_s^{\ell,v}(x)\right| \mathrm{d}s + \left|W^H_{\ell^\epsilon(T)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} - W^H_{\ell(T)}\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)$ and $X_t^{\ell,v}(x)$ are non-explosive, the integral in the above expression is finite. Therefore, we can apply Gronwall's inequality with $g(\epsilon,t) := \left|W^H_{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} - W^H_{\ell(t)}\right|$ and find

$$\left|X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x) - X_T^{\ell,v}(x)\right| \le g(\epsilon,T) + C_T\int_0^T g(\epsilon,s)\mathrm{e}^{(T-s)C_T}\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

From (11), we conclude that $\lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} g(\epsilon,s) = 0$ for all $s \ge 0$. Then we get from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x) = X_T^{\ell,v}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d;$$

hence

$$\lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v} f = P_T^{\ell,v} f, \quad f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Since ℓ is of bounded variation, the limit $\ell^\epsilon \downarrow \ell$ also holds for the integrals

$$\lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} \int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell^\epsilon(t) = \int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell(t).$$

We can now use Lemma 3.2 i) and let $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ to get

$$P_T^{\ell,v} \log f(y) \le \log P_T^{\ell,v} f(x) + \frac{\Theta_H \ell(T)^{2-2H}}{\big(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell(t)\big)^2}\,|x-y|^2$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $f \ge 1$. Similarly, Lemma 3.2 ii) yields

$$\big(P_T^{\ell,v} f(y)\big)^p \le P_T^{\ell,v} f^p(x) \cdot \exp\left[\frac{\frac{p}{p-1}\Theta_H \ell(T)^{2-2H}}{\big(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell(t)\big)^2}\,|x-y|^2\right]$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all non-negative $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Since the processes W, V and Z are independent, $P_T f = \mathbb{E}\left[P_T^{\ell,v} f(\cdot)\,\Big|_{\substack{\ell=Z\\ v=V}}\right]$ holds for all bounded Borel functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$. Thus, the Jensen inequality yields for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $f \ge 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_T \log f(y) &= \mathbb{E}\left[P_T^{\ell,v} \log f(y)\,\Big|_{\substack{\ell=Z\\ v=V}}\right] \\
&\le \mathbb{E}\left[\log P_T^{\ell,v} f(x)\,\Big|_{\substack{\ell=Z\\ v=V}}\right] + \Theta_H \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Z(T)^{2-2H}}{\big(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}Z(t)\big)^2}\right]|x-y|^2 \\
&\le \log P_T f(x) + \Theta_H \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Z(T)^{2-2H}}{\big(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}Z(t)\big)^2}\right]|x-y|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the power-Harnack inequality we use Hölder's inequality to find for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and non-negative $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_T f(y) &= \mathbb{E}\left[P_T^{\ell,v} f(y)\,\Big|_{\substack{\ell=Z\\ v=V}}\right] \\
&\le \mathbb{E}\left[\big(P_T^{\ell,v} f^p(x)\big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \exp\left[\frac{\frac{\ell(T)^{2-2H}}{p-1}\Theta_H |x-y|^2}{\big(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell(t)\big)^2}\right]\Bigg|_{\substack{\ell=Z\\ v=V}}\right] \\
&\le \big(P_T f^p(x)\big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E}\exp\left[\frac{\frac{pZ(T)^{2-2H}}{(p-1)^2}\Theta_H |x-y|^2}{\big(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}Z(t)\big)^2}\right]\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2: For the general case, we use the approximation argument proposed in [19, part (c) of proof of Theorem 2.1]. Let

$$\tilde{b}_t(x) := b_t(x) - k(t)x, \quad t \ge 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

($k(t)$ is the constant appearing in (H).) Using (H), it is not difficult to see that the mapping $\mathrm{id} - n^{-1}\tilde{b}_t : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is injective for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \ge 0$. The maps

$$b_t^{(n)}(x) := n\left[\left(\mathrm{id} - n^{-1}\tilde{b}_t\right)^{-1}(x) - x\right] + k(t)x, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},\ t \ge 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

are, uniformly for t in compact intervals, globally Lipschitz continuous, see [4]. Denote by $(X_t^{(n)}(x))_{t\ge 0}$ the solution of (4) with b replaced by $b^{(n)}$, and define $P_t^{(n)}$

by (6) with $X_t(x)$ replaced by $X_t^{(n)}(x)$. Because of the first part of the proof, the statements of Theorem 3.1 hold with P_T replaced by $P_T^{(n)}$.

On the other hand, we see as in [19, part (c) of proof of Theorem 2.1], that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} X_T^{(n)}(x) = X_T(x) \text{ a.s., hence,} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} P_T^{(n)} f = P_T f \quad \text{for all } f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Therefore, the claim follows if we let $n \to \infty$. □

3.3. **Applications.** Let $Z = (Z(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a subordinator, whose Laplace transform is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\, \mathrm{e}^{-rZ(t)} = \mathrm{e}^{-t\phi(r)}, \quad r > 0,\ t \geq 0.$$

It is well-known that the Laplace exponent ϕ is a Bernstein function, i.e. a function which has the following representation

$$\phi(r) = \vartheta r + \int_{(0,\infty)} \left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-rx}\right) \nu(\mathrm{d}x), \quad r > 0, \tag{18}$$

where $\vartheta \geq 0$ is the drift parameter and ν is a Lévy measure, i.e. a measure on $(0,\infty)$ such that $\int_{(0,\infty)} (1 \wedge x)\, \nu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$.

For the constant $k(t)$ from (H) and its primitive $K(t)$, cf. (7), we set

$$K^*(T) := \exp\left[2 \sup_{t\in[0,T]} K(t)\right], \quad T > 0.$$

Obviously, if $k(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, then $K^*(T) \leq 1$ for all $T > 0$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let Z be a subordinator whose characteristic exponent is the Bernstein function ϕ and assume that* (H) *holds. We have for all $T > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all bounded Borel functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,\infty)$ the following assertions:*

i) *If $\liminf_{r\to\infty} \phi(r) r^{-\rho} > 0$ for some $\rho > 0$, then*

$$P_T \log f(y) \leq \log P_T f(x) + \frac{C_{H,\rho} K^*(T) |x-y|^2}{(T \wedge 1)^{2H/\rho}}, \quad f \geq 1,$$

$$|\nabla P_T f|^2(x) \leq \left\{P_T f^2(x) - \left(P_T f(x)\right)^2\right\} \frac{C_{H,\rho} K^*(T)}{(T \wedge 1)^{2H/\rho}}.$$

If, in addition, $\liminf_{r\downarrow 0} \phi(r) r^{-\rho} > 0$, then we can replace $T \wedge 1$ by T and get

$$P_T \log f(y) \leq \log P_T f(x) + \frac{C_{H,\rho} K^*(T) |x-y|^2}{T^{2H/\rho}}, \quad f \geq 1,$$

$$|\nabla P_T f|^2(x) \leq \left\{P_T f^2(x) - \left(P_T f(x)\right)^2\right\} \frac{C_{H,\rho} K^*(T)}{T^{2H/\rho}}.$$

ii) *If $\liminf_{r\to\infty} \phi(r) r^{-\rho} > 0$ for some $\rho > 2H/(1+2H)$ and $p > 1$, then*

$$\left(P_T f(y)\right)^p \leq P_T f^p(x)$$
$$\times \exp\left[\frac{C_{H,\rho}\, p}{p-1} K^*(T) \left(1 + \frac{1}{T^{2H/\rho}}\right) |x-y|^2 + C_{H,\rho} \frac{(pK^*(T)|x-y|^2)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-2H(1-\rho)}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{\rho+2H(1-\rho)}{\rho-2H(1-\rho)}} T^{\frac{2H}{\rho-2H(1-\rho)}}}\right].$$

If, in addition, $\liminf_{r\downarrow 0}\phi(r)r^{-\rho}>0$, *then*

$$\big(P_Tf(y)\big)^p \le P_Tf^p(x) \times \exp\left[\frac{C_{H,\rho}\,p}{p-1}\frac{K^*(T)|x-y|^2}{T^{2H/\rho}} + C_{H,\rho}\frac{(pK^*(T)|x-y|^2)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-2H(1-\rho)}}}{(p-1)^{\frac{\rho+2H(1-\rho)}{\rho-2H(1-\rho)}}T^{\frac{2H}{\rho-2H(1-\rho)}}}\right].$$

Proof. Since we have

$$Z(T)^{2-2H}\left(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-K(t)}\,\mathrm{d}Z(t)\right)^{-2} \le K^*(T)Z(T)^{-2H},\quad T>0, \tag{19}$$

the assertion follows from Theorem 3.1 and [7, Theorem 3.8 (a) and (b)]. □

We will now assume that the subordinator S is strictly increasing, i.e. we have $\nu(0,\infty)=\infty$ or $\vartheta>0$. Define the (generalized, right-continuous) inverse of S

$$S^{-1}(t) := \inf\{s\ge 0 : S(s)>t\} = \sup\{s\ge 0 : S(s)\le t\},\quad t\ge 0.$$

We will call $S^{-1}=(S^{-1}(t))_{t\ge 0}$ an inverse subordinator associated with the Bernstein function ϕ. Since we assume that the subordinator S is strictly increasing, we know that almost all paths of S^{-1} are continuous and non-decreasing. We will frequently need the following identity:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(S(r)\ge t\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(S^{-1}(t)\le r\right),\quad r,t>0. \tag{20}$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let Z be an inverse subordinator associated with the Bernstein function ϕ and assume that* (H) *holds.*

If $\limsup_{r\downarrow 0}\phi(r)r^{-\sigma}<\infty$ *and* $\limsup_{r\to\infty}\phi(r)r^{-\sigma}<\infty$ *for some* $\sigma>0$, *then the following assertions hold.*

i) *For any $T>0$, $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and all bounded Borel functions $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to[1,\infty)$*

$$P_T\log f(y) \le \log P_Tf(x) + \frac{C_{H,\sigma}K^*(T)}{T^{2H\sigma}}|x-y|^2.$$

ii) *For any $T>0$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and all bounded Borel functions $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to[0,\infty)$*

$$|\nabla P_Tf|^2(x) \le \left\{P_Tf^2(x) - \big(P_Tf(x)\big)^2\right\}\frac{C_{H,\sigma}K^*(T)}{T^{2H\sigma}}.$$

Corollary 3.4 follows, if we combine (19) with Theorem 3.1 i), ii) and the next lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let S^{-1} be an inverse subordinator with Bernstein function ϕ satisfying the conditions of Corollary 3.4. For any $\theta\in(0,1)$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\big(S^{-1}(t)\big)^{-\theta}\right] \le C_{\sigma,\theta}\,t^{-\sigma\theta},\quad t>0.$$

Proof. By our assumption, there exists a constant $c=c(\sigma)>0$ such that $\phi(r)\le c\,r^\sigma$ for all $r>0$. Combining this with

$$\mathbb{1}_{[t,\infty)}\left(S(s)\right) \le \frac{2S(s)}{t+S(s)},\qquad \frac{x}{1+x}=\int_0^\infty\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-xr}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-r}\,\mathrm{d}r,\quad x>0,$$

and Tonelli's theorem, we get that for all $s,t>0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(S(s)\geq t\right) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{[t,\infty)}\left(S(s)\right)\right]\\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S(s)/t}{1+S(s)/t}\right]\\ &= 2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\infty \left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-rS(s)/t}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-r}\,\mathrm{d}r\right]\\ &= 2\int_0^\infty \left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-s\phi(r/t)}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-r}\,\mathrm{d}r\\ &\leq 2s\int_0^\infty \phi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-r}\,\mathrm{d}r\\ &\leq 2c\,s\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^\sigma \mathrm{e}^{-r}\,\mathrm{d}r\\ &= 2c\,\Gamma(\sigma+1)st^{-\sigma}.\end{aligned}$$

This yields for all $t>0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\big[\big(S^{-1}(t)\big)^{-\theta}\big] &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\big(S(s^{-1/\theta})\geq t\big)\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \left(1\wedge\left[2c\,\Gamma(\sigma+1)s^{-1/\theta}t^{-\sigma}\right]\right)\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &= \frac{1}{1-\theta}\left[2c\,\Gamma(\sigma+1)\right]^\theta t^{-\sigma\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.6. Let $\alpha\in(0,1)$. For an α-stable subordinator S we have $\sigma=\alpha$. Because of (20) and the well-known two-sided estimate

$$\mathbb{P}\left(S(r)\geq t\right)\asymp 1\wedge\left[rt^{-\alpha}\right],\quad r,t>0,$$

($f\asymp g$ means that $c^{-1}f(t)\leq g(t)\leq cf(t)$ for some $c\geq 1$ and all t) we have for any $t>0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\big[\big(S^{-1}(t)\big)^{-\theta}\big] &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\big(\big(S^{-1}(t)\big)^{-\theta}\geq s\big)\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\big(S(s^{-1/\theta})\geq t\big)\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &\asymp \int_0^\infty \left(1\wedge\left[s^{-1/\theta}t^{-\alpha}\right]\right)\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &= \frac{1}{1-\theta}\,t^{-\alpha\theta}.\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

This shows that Lemma 3.5 is sharp for α-stable subordinators.

Remark 3.7. Let Z be an inverse α-stable subordinator, i.e. $Z(t)=S^{-1}(t)$ for $t\geq 0$, where $(S(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is an α-stable subordinator. For any $t,\theta,\delta>0$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left[\frac{\delta}{Z(t)^\theta}\right]=\infty.$$

This follows similar to (21):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\exp\left[\frac{\delta}{Z(t)^\theta}\right] &\geq \int_1^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(\exp\left[\delta\left(S^{-1}(t)\right)^{-\theta}\right]\geq s\right)\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &= \int_1^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(S\left((\delta/\log s)^{1/\theta}\right)\geq t\right)\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &\asymp \int_1^\infty \left(1\wedge\left[(\delta/\log s)^{1/\theta}\,t^{-\alpha}\right]\right)\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &= \infty.\end{aligned}$$

This means that we cannot expect, in the setting of Corollary 3.4, that we get a power-Harnack inequality as we did in Corollary 3.3 iii).

4. SDEs with non-Lipschitz drift and anisotropic noise

Let $W^{H_i,i}=(W_t^{H_i,i})_{t\geq 0}$, $Z^{(i)}=(Z^{(i)}(t))_{t\geq 0}$, $1\leq i\leq d$, $V=(V_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be $2d+1$ independent stochastic processes such that

$$\text{(22)}\qquad \begin{cases} W^{H_i,i} \text{ are fBMs on } \mathbb{R} \text{ with Hurst parameter } H_i\in(0,1/2);\\ Z^{(i)} \text{ are non-decreasing processes on } [0,\infty) \text{ with } Z^{(i)}(0)=0;\\ V \text{ is a locally bounded measurable process on } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ with } V_0=0.\end{cases}$$

We consider the following stochastic equation on $\mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\text{(23)}\quad X_t(x)=x+\int_0^t b_s\big(X_s(x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s+\big(W^{H_1,1}_{Z^{(1)}(t)},\dots,W^{H_d,d}_{Z^{(d)}(t)}\big)+V_t,\quad t\geq 0,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $b=(b^{(1)},\dots,b^{(d)}):[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$, $b=b_t(x)$, is measurable, locally bounded in the variable $t\geq 0$ and continuous as a function of x. By $\mathscr{U}$ we denote the family of functions $u:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$ which are continuous, non-decreasing, grow at most linearly as $x\to\infty$ and satisfy $\int_{0+}\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{u(s)}=\infty$. Typical examples of such functions are $u(s)=s$, $u(s)=s\log(\mathrm{e}\vee s^{-1})$, $u(s)=s\cdot\{\log(\mathrm{e}\vee s^{-1})\}\cdot\log\log(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}}\vee s^{-1})$.

In this section, we will use the ℓ^1-norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which we denote by $\|x\|_1:=|x^{(1)}|+\dots+|x^{(d)}|$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$, and we replace the one-sided Lipschitz condition (H) by the following Yamada–Watanabe type condition

$$\text{(A)}\qquad \begin{cases}\text{There exists some } u\in\mathscr{U} \text{ and a locally bounded}\\ \text{measurable function } k:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty) \text{ such that}\\ \|b_t(x)-b_t(y)\|_1\leq k(t)u(\|x-y\|_1),\ t\geq 0,\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d.\end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that (A) guarantees the existence, uniqueness and non-explosion of the solution to (23). As before, we define for bounded Borel functions $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ the operator

$$P_tf(x):=\mathbb{E}f(X_t(x))\quad t\geq 0,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

Remark 4.1. As is well known, (A) is incomparable with the condition (H) used in Section 3.

4.1. **Statement of the main result.** Let $k(t)$ be the constant appearing in (A), and denote by $K(t) = \int_0^t k(s)\,\mathrm{d}s$ its primitive as in (7). For $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ we define Θ_{H_i} by (8) with H_i instead of H. Finally, we set for $u \in \mathscr{U}$ and $k(t)$

$$G_u(r) := \begin{cases} -\int_r^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{u(s)}, & \text{if } r \in [0,1), \\ \int_1^r \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{u(s)}, & \text{if } r \in [1,\infty), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Phi_{u,k}(t,r) := r + \int_0^t k(s)\, u \circ G_u^{-1}\big(G_u(r) + K(s)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s, \quad t, r \geq 0;$$

G_u^{-1} is the inverse function of G_u. Since $u \in \mathscr{U}$, it is easy to see that G_u is strictly increasing with $G_u(0) = -\infty$ and $\lim_{r\uparrow\infty} G_u(r) = \infty$, so that $\Phi_{u,k}$ is well-defined. If, in particular, $u(s) = cs$ for some constant $c > 0$, then

$$\Phi_{u,k}(t,r) = \left(1 + c\int_0^t k(s)\,\mathrm{e}^{cK(s)}\,\mathrm{d}s\right) r, \quad t, r \geq 0.$$

Since we use the ℓ^1-norm in this section, the local Lipschitz constant of a function f on $\mathbb{R}^d$ at the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined by

$$|\nabla f|(x) := \limsup_{y \to x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{\|y - x\|_1}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Denote by $X_t(x)$ the unique solution of the SDE* (23)*, and assume that* (22) *and* (A) *hold.*

i) *For $T > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and any bounded Borel function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to [1, \infty)$*

$$P_T \log f(y) \leq \log P_T f(x) + \Phi_{u,k}^2\,(T, \|x - y\|_1) \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\Theta_{H_i}}{(Z^{(i)}(T))^{2H_i}}\right].$$

ii) *For $T > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $p > 1$ and any bounded Borel function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$*

$$\big(P_T f(y)\big)^p \leq P_T f^p(x) \cdot \left(\mathbb{E}\exp\left[\frac{p}{(p-1)^2}\Phi_{u,k}^2\,(T, \|x - y\|_1) \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\Theta_{H_i}}{(Z^{(i)}(T))^{2H_i}}\right]\right)^{p-1}.$$

iii) *If* (A) *holds with $u(s) = cs$ for some constant $c > 0$, then we have for $T > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and any bounded Borel function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$*

$$|\nabla P_T f|^2(x) \leq \Big\{P_T f^2(x) - \big(P_T f(x)\big)^2\Big\} \times 2\left(1 + c\int_0^T k(s)\,\mathrm{e}^{cK(s)}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\Theta_{H_i}}{(Z^{(i)}(T))^{2H_i}}\right].$$

4.2. **Deterministic time-changes.** The proof of Theorem 4.2 follows the same strategy as the proof of Theorem 3.1. Because of independence of the random time-change and the driving processes, we consider first a deterministic time-change $\ell = (\ell^{(1)}, \dots, \ell^{(d)}) : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)^d$ such that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the map $t \mapsto \ell^{(i)}(t)$ is non-decreasing and càdlàg with $\ell^{(i)}(0) = 0$. Let $v = (v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(d)}) : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a locally bounded measurable function such that $v_0 = 0$. Under (A), the following SDE has a unique non-explosive strong solution

$$X_t^{\ell,v}(x) = x + \int_0^t b_s\big(X_s^{\ell,v}(x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s + \big(W^{H_1,1}_{\ell^{(1)}(t)}, \dots, W^{H_d,d}_{\ell^{(d)}(t)}\big) + v_t, \quad t \geq 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{24}$$

and, as before, we set for any bounded Borel function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$

$$P_t^{\ell,v} f(x) := \mathbb{E} f\big(X_t^{\ell,v}(x)\big) \quad t \geq 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proposition 4.3. *Assume* (A) *and denote by* $X^{\ell,v}(x)$ *the unique solution to the SDE* (24).

i) *For* $T > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and any bounded Borel function* $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to [1, \infty)$

$$P_T^{\ell,v} \log f(y) \leq \log P_T^{\ell,v} f(x) + \Phi_{u,k}^2\left(T, \|x-y\|_1\right) \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\Theta_{H_i}}{\left(\ell^{(i)}(T)\right)^{2H_i}}.$$

ii) *For* $T > 0$, $p > 1$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and any bounded Borel function* $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$

$$\big(P_T^{\ell,v} f(y)\big)^p \leq P_T^{\ell,v} f^p(x) \cdot \exp\left[\frac{p}{p-1} \Phi_{u,k}^2\left(T, \|x-y\|_1\right) \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\Theta_{H_i}}{\left(\ell^{(i)}(T)\right)^{2H_i}}\right].$$

As in Section 3.2, we approximate $\ell^{(i)}$ by strictly increasing, absolutely continuous functions

$$\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t) := \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \ell^{(i)}(s)\,\mathrm{d}s + \epsilon t = \int_0^1 \ell^{(i)}(\epsilon s + t)\,\mathrm{d}s + \epsilon t, \quad \epsilon \in (0,1),\ 1 \leq i \leq d,\ t \geq 0.$$

By construction, $\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t) \downarrow \ell^{(i)}(t)$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. Denote by $\gamma^{\epsilon,i}$ the inverse function of $\ell^{\epsilon,i}$. We consider the following approximation of the SDE (24)

$$X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v,i}(x) = x^{(i)} + \int_0^t b_s^{(i)}\big(X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s + W^{H_i,i}_{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} + v_t^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d, \tag{25}$$

where $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x) = \big(X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v,1}(x), \dots, X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v,d}(x)\big)$. Again, for all bounded Borel functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$

$$P_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v} f(x) := \mathbb{E} f\big(X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big), \quad t \geq 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We will first prove the Harnack inequalities for $P_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}$ using a modification of the arguments from Lemma 3.2, compare also [20].

Lemma 4.4. *Assume* (A) *and denote by* $X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)$, $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, *the unique solution to the SDE* (25).

i) *For* $T > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and any bounded Borel function* $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to [1, \infty)$

$$P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v} \log f(y) \leq \log P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v} f(x) + \Phi_{u,k}^2\left(T, \|x-y\|_1\right) \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\Theta_{H_i}}{\left(\ell^{\epsilon,i}(T) - \ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\right)^{2H_i}}$$

ii) *For* $T > 0$, $p > 1$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and any bounded Borel function* $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$

$$\big(P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v} f(y)\big)^p \leq P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v} f^p(x) \cdot \exp\left[\frac{p}{p-1} \Phi_{u,k}^2\left(T, \|x-y\|_1\right) \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\Theta_{H_i}}{\left(\ell^{\epsilon,i}(T) - \ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\right)^{2H_i}}\right].$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, $T > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and denote the coordinates by the superscript (i). Let $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^{(i)} &= y^{(i)} + \int_0^t b_s^{(i)}(Y_s)\,\mathrm{d}s + W^{H_i,i}_{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} + v_t^{(i)} \\ &\quad + \xi^{(i)} \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau_i)}(s) \frac{X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v,i}(x) - Y_s^{(i)}}{\big|X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v,i}(x) - Y_s^{(i)}\big|}\,\mathrm{d}\ell^{\epsilon,i}(s), \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $t \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ and

$$\xi^{(i)} := \frac{\Phi_{u,k}(T, \|x-y\|_1)}{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(\delta T) - \ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)}, \quad \delta \in (0,1), \quad \tau_i := \inf\big\{t \geq 0 : X_t^{\ell^\epsilon, v, i}(x) = Y_t^{(i)}\big\}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, there is a unique solution to (26) such that $Y_t^{(i)} = X_t^{\ell^\epsilon, v, i}(x)$ for $t \geq \tau_i$ on the set $\{\tau_i < \infty\}$, and we use the differential versions of the equations (26) and the observation that $|\eta| = \int \operatorname{sgn}(\eta)\, \mathrm{d}\eta$ to get for $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|X_t^{\ell^\epsilon, v, i}(x) - Y_t^{(i)}\big| - |x^{(i)} - y^{(i)}| \\
&\quad = \int_0^t \frac{X_s^{\ell^\epsilon, v, i}(x) - Y_s^{(i)}}{\big|X_s^{\ell^\epsilon, v, i}(x) - Y_s^{(i)}\big|} \big(b_s^{(i)}\big(X_s^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x)\big) - b_s^{(i)}(Y_s)\big)\, \mathrm{d}s - \xi^{(i)}\big[\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t \wedge \tau_i) - \ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\big] \\
&\quad \leq \int_0^t \big|b_s^{(i)}\big(X_s^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x)\big) - b_s^{(i)}(Y_s)\big|\, \mathrm{d}s - \xi^{(i)}\big[\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t \wedge \tau_i) - \ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\big].
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over i we obtain, using (A),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|X_t^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x) - Y_t\big\|_1 \\
&\quad \leq \|x-y\|_1 + \int_0^t \big\|b_s\big(X_s^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x)\big) - b_s(Y_s)\big\|_1\, \mathrm{d}s - \sum_{i=1}^d \xi^{(i)}\big[\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t \wedge \tau_i) - \ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\big] \\
&\quad \leq \|x-y\|_1 + \int_0^t k(s)\, u\big(\big\|X_s^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x) - Y_s\big\|_1\big)\, \mathrm{d}s - \sum_{i=1}^d \xi^{(i)}\big[\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t \wedge \tau_i) - \ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\big].
\end{aligned}$$

We can now apply Bihari's inequality (cf. [3, Section 3]) to conclude

$$\big\|X_s^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x) - Y_s\big\|_1 \leq G_u^{-1}\big(G_u(\|x-y\|_1) + K(s)\big), \quad s \geq 0.$$

Inserting this into the previous inequality yields for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^d \xi^{(i)}\big[\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t \wedge \tau_i) - \ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\big] \\
&\qquad \leq \|x-y\|_1 + \int_0^t k(s)\, u \circ G_u^{-1}\big(G_u(\|x-y\|_1) + K(s)\big)\, \mathrm{d}s \\
&\qquad \leq \Phi_{u,k}(T, \|x-y\|_1),
\end{aligned}$$

which means that we have for each $n = 1, \dots, d$

$$\frac{\ell^{\epsilon,n}(t \wedge \tau_n) - \ell^{\epsilon,n}(0)}{\ell^{\epsilon,n}(\delta T) - \ell^{\epsilon,n}(0)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t \wedge \tau_i) - \ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)}{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(\delta T) - \ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} \leq 1.$$

Taking $t = T$ implies $\ell^{\epsilon,n}(T \wedge \tau_n) \leq \ell^{\epsilon,n}(\delta T)$ and this is only possible if $\tau_n < T$ as $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $\ell^{\epsilon,n}$ is strictly increasing.

Let $W^{(i)} = (W^{(i)})_{t \geq 0}$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, be independent one-dimensional standard Brownian motions. Define

$$\widetilde{W}^{(i)}_{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t) - \ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} := W^{(i)}_{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t) - \ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} + \int_0^t \eta_s^{(i)}\, \mathrm{d}\ell^{\epsilon,i}(s), \quad t \geq 0,\ 1 \leq i \leq d,$$

where

$$\eta_s^{(i)} := \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau_i)}(s)\mathcal{K}_{H_i}^{-1}\left(\int_0^{\cdot} g_r^{(i)}\,\mathrm{d}r\right)\left(\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\right), \quad s\geq 0,$$

$$g_r^{(i)} := \xi^{(i)}\mathbb{1}_{[0,\ell^{\epsilon,i}(\tau_i)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0))}(r)\frac{X^{\ell^\epsilon,v,i}_{\gamma^{\epsilon,i}(r+\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0))}(x)-Y^{(i)}_{\gamma^{\epsilon,i}(r+\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0))}}{\left|X^{\ell^\epsilon,v,i}_{\gamma^{\epsilon,i}(r+\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0))}(x)-Y^{(i)}_{\gamma^{\epsilon,i}(r+\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0))}\right|}, \quad r\geq 0.$$

For $t\geq 0$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} M_t &:= -\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau_i)}(s)\eta_s^{(i)}\,\mathrm{d}W^{(i)}_{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(s)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t\wedge\tau_i)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} \eta^{(i)}_{\gamma^{\epsilon,i}(s+\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0))}\,\mathrm{d}W_s^{(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3) we find for $s\in[0,\ell^{\epsilon,i}(\tau_i)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0))$

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\eta^{(i)}_{\gamma^{\epsilon,i}(s+\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0))}\right| &= \left|\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac12-H_i\right)}s^{H_i-\frac12}\int_0^s r^{\frac12-H_i}g_r^{(i)}(s-r)^{-H_i-\frac12}\,\mathrm{d}r\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac12-H_i\right)}\,\xi^{(i)}s^{H_i-\frac12}\int_0^s r^{\frac12-H_i}(s-r)^{-H_i-\frac12}\,\mathrm{d}r \\ &= \frac{B\left(\frac32-H_i,\frac12-H_i\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac12-H_i\right)}\,\xi^{(i)}s^{\frac12-H_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the compensator of the martingale satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M\rangle_\infty &= \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(\tau_i)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} \left|\eta^{(i)}_{\gamma^{\epsilon,i}(s+\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0))}\right|^2\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{B\left(\frac32-H_i,\frac12-H_i\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac12-H_i\right)}\right)^2(\xi^{(i)})^2\int_0^{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(T)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} s^{1-2H_i}\,\mathrm{d}s \\ &= 2\Phi^2_{u,k}\left(T,\|x-y\|_1\right)\sum_{i=1}^d \Theta_{H_i}\frac{\left[\ell^{\epsilon,i}(T)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\right]^{2-2H_i}}{\left[\ell^{\epsilon,i}(\delta T)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\right]^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$R := \exp\left[M_\infty-\frac12\langle M\rangle_\infty\right].$$

Using Novikov's criterion shows that $\mathbb{E}R=1$, and by Girsanov's theorem,

$$\widetilde{W}_{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)} := \big(\widetilde{W}^{(1)}_{\ell^{\epsilon,1}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,1}(0)},\dots,\widetilde{W}^{(d)}_{\ell^{\epsilon,d}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,d}(0)}\big), \quad t\geq 0$$

is a d-dimensional $(\mathscr{F}_t^{\ell^\epsilon})$-martingale under $R\mathbb{P}$, where $\mathscr{F}_t^{\ell^\epsilon}$ is the σ-algebra generated by $\{W^{(i)}_{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(s)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} : 0\leq s\leq t,\, 1\leq i\leq d\}$. For $0\leq s\leq t$ and $\theta=(\theta^{(1)},\dots,\theta^{(d)})\in$

$\mathbb{R}^d$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{R\mathbb{P}}\big(\exp\big[\mathrm{i}\,\big\langle\theta,\widetilde{W}_{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}-\widetilde{W}_{\ell^\epsilon(s)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}\big\rangle\big]\,\big|\,\mathscr{F}_s^{\ell^\epsilon}\big)\\
&\quad=\exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^d(\theta^{(i)})^2\left[\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(s)\right]\right]\\
&\quad=\mathbb{E}\big(\exp\big[\mathrm{i}\,\big\langle\theta,W_{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}-W_{\ell^\epsilon(s)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}\big\rangle\big]\,\big|\,\mathscr{F}_s^{\ell^\epsilon}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$W_{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)}:=\big(W^{(1)}_{\ell^{\epsilon,1}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,1}(0)},\ldots,W^{(d)}_{\ell^{\epsilon,d}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,d}(0)}\big),\quad t\geq 0.$$

This shows that the distribution of $(\widetilde{W}_{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)})_{t\geq0}$ under $R\mathbb{P}$ coincides with the law of $(W_{\ell^\epsilon(t)-\ell^\epsilon(0)})_{t\geq0}$ under $\mathbb{P}$. If we rewrite (25) and (26) for $t\geq0$ and $i=1,\ldots,d$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v,i}(x)&=x^{(i)}+\int_0^t b_s^{(i)}\big(X_s^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}s+v_t^{(i)}\\
&\quad+\int_0^t\mathcal{K}_{H_i}\left(\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0),\ell^{\epsilon,i}(s)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\right)\mathrm{d}W^{(i)}_{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(s)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_t^{(i)}&=y^{(i)}+\int_0^t b_s^{(i)}(Y_s)\,\mathrm{d}s+v_t^{(i)}\\
&\quad+\int_0^t\mathcal{K}_{H_i}\left(\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0),\ell^{\epsilon,i}(s)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\right)\mathrm{d}\widetilde{W}^{(i)}_{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(s)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)},
\end{aligned}$$

respectively, we see that the distribution of $(X_t^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(y))_{t\geq0}$ under $\mathbb{P}$ coincides with the distribution of $(Y_t)_{t\geq0}$ under $R\mathbb{P}$.

As in the proof of Lemma 3.2 we get for any bounded Borel function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to[1,\infty)$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}\log f(y)&=\mathbb{E}\big[R\log f\big(X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big)\big]\\
&\leq\log\mathbb{E}f\big(X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big)+\mathbb{E}\left[R\log R\right]\\
&=\log P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}f(x)+\mathbb{E}_{R\mathbb{P}}\log R\\
&\leq\log P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}f(x)+\Phi_{u,k}^2\left(T,\|x-y\|_1\right)\sum_{i=1}^d\Theta_{H_i}\frac{\left[\ell^{\epsilon,i}(T)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\right]^{2-2H_i}}{\left[\ell^{\epsilon,i}(\delta T)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\right]^2},
\end{aligned}$$

and for any non-negative bounded Borel function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to[0,\infty)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}f(y)\big)^p\\
&\quad=\big(\mathbb{E}\big[Rf\big(X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big)\big]\big)^p\\
&\quad\leq\big(\mathbb{E}f^p\big(X_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}(x)\big)\big)\left(\mathbb{E}\left[R^{p/(p-1)}\right]\right)^{p-1}\\
&\quad\leq P_T^{\ell^\epsilon,v}f^p(x)\cdot\exp\left[\frac{p}{p-1}\Phi_{u,k}^2\left(T,\|x-y\|_1\right)\sum_{i=1}^d\Theta_{H_i}\frac{\left[\ell^{\epsilon,i}(T)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\right]^{2-2H_i}}{\left[\ell^{\epsilon,i}(\delta T)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)\right]^2}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\delta\uparrow1$ finishes the proof. □

The following result is easy; for the sake of completeness, we include its simple proof.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume* (A). *Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \geq 0$,*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} X_t^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x) = X_t^{\ell, v}(x).$$

Proof. Fix $T > 0$, $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and observe that for $t \in [0,T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|X_t^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x) - X_t^{\ell, v}(x)\big\|_1 \\
&\leq \int_0^t \big\|b_s\big(X_s^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x)\big) - b_s\big(X_s^{\ell, v}(x)\big)\big\|_1 \, \mathrm{d}s + \sum_{i=1}^d \big|W^{H_i,i}_{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} - W^{H_i,i}_{\ell^{(i)}(t)}\big| \\
&\leq \int_0^t k(s)\, u\big(\big\|X_s^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x) - X_s^{\ell, v}(x)\big\|_1\big) \, \mathrm{d}s + \sum_{i=1}^d \big|W^{H_i,i}_{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} - W^{H_i,i}_{\ell^{(i)}(t)}\big|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the processes $X_t^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x)$ and $X_t^{\ell, v}(x)$ are non-explosive, the last integral expression is finite. Applying Bihari's lemma with $g(\epsilon, t) := \sum_{i=1}^d \big|W^{H_i,i}_{\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t)-\ell^{\epsilon,i}(0)} - W^{H_i,i}_{\ell^{(i)}(t)}\big|$ yields that for any $t \in [0,T]$

$$\big\|X_t^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x) - X_t^{\ell, v}(x)\big\|_1 \leq G_u^{-1}\big(G_u(g(\epsilon,t)) + K(t)\big).$$

Since $\ell^{\epsilon,i}(t) \to \ell^{(i)}(t)$, one has $g(\epsilon,t) \to 0$ as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. Combining this with $G_u(0+) = -\infty$, we find

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \big\|X_t^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x) - X_t^{\ell, v}(x)\big\|_1 = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} X_t^{\ell^\epsilon, v}(x) = X_t^{\ell, v}(x) \quad \text{holds for all } t \in [0,T].$$

The claim follows since $T > 0$ is arbitrary. □

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 4.2.** The proof parallels the argument which we have used for Theorem 3.1; in particular, Lemma 4.4 plays now the same role as Lemma 3.2 for the proof of Theorem 3.1.

The first step is to prove the log- and power-Harnack inequalities stated in i) and ii) for deterministic time-changes and for continuous functions $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Lemma 3.1 has these inequalities for absolutely continuous time-changes and the operators $P^{\ell^\epsilon, v}$; letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, we get them for general time-changes and the operators $P^{\ell, v}$.

Since the processes Z and V are independent of $(W^{H_1,1}, \dots, W^{H_d,d})$, we can indeed treat them like deterministic processes $Z = \ell$ and $V = v$, i.e. just as in Theorem 3.1 the deterministically time-changed inequalities combined with the Jensen and Hölder inequality prove Theorem 4.2 i) and ii).

Finally, the gradient estimate follows immediately from i) and [1, Proposition 2.3].

4.4. **Two examples.** As in Section 3.3, we apply our results to two typical examples of stochastic time-changes $Z^{(i)}$: subordinators and inverse subordinators.

Throughout this section we assume that $(X_t(x))_{t \geq 0}$ is the unique non-explosive solution to the SDE (23) and $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E} f(X_t(x))$. Combining Theorem 4.2 and [7, Theorem 3.8 (a) and (b)], we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.6. *Assume that* (A) *holds and that for each* $i = 1, \dots, d$, $Z^{(i)}$ *is a subordinator with Bernstein function* ϕ_i *such that* $\liminf_{r\to\infty} \phi_i(r) r^{-\alpha_i} > 0$ *for some* $\alpha_i > 0$. *Let*

$$\kappa_1 := 2 \min_{1\le i\le d} \frac{H_i}{\alpha_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_2 := 2 \max_{1\le i\le d} \frac{H_i}{\alpha_i}.$$

Then there exists some constant $C = C_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_d,H_1,\dots,H_d} > 0$ *such that the following assertions* i)–iii) *hold.*

i) *For* $T > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and all bounded Borel functions* $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to [1, \infty)$

$$P_T \log f(y) \le \log P_T f(x) + \frac{Cd}{(T \wedge 1)^{\kappa_2}} \Phi^2_{u,k}\left(T, \|x - y\|_1\right).$$

If, in addition, $\liminf_{r\downarrow 0} \phi_i(r) r^{-\alpha_i} > 0$ *for each* i, *then*

$$P_T \log f(y) \le \log P_T f(x) + \frac{Cd}{T^{\kappa_1} \wedge T^{\kappa_2}} \Phi^2_{u,k}\left(T, \|x - y\|_1\right).$$

ii) *Assume that* $\alpha_i > 2H_i/(1+2H_i)$ *for each* $i = 1, \dots, d$. *For any* $T > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $p > 1$ *and all bounded Borel functions* $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned}\big(P_T f(y)\big)^p \le & P_T f^p(x) \cdot \exp\left[\frac{Cp}{p-1} \Phi^2_{u,k}\left(T, \|x - y\|_1\right)\left(1 + \frac{d}{T^{\kappa_1} \wedge T^{\kappa_2}}\right)\right. \\ & \left. + C(p-1) \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{p \Phi^2_{u,k}\left(T, \|x - y\|_1\right)}{(p-1)^2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_i - 2H_i(1-\alpha_i)}} T^{-\frac{2H_i}{\alpha_i - 2H_i(1-\alpha_i)}}\right].\end{aligned}$$

If, in addition, $\liminf_{r\downarrow 0} \phi_i(r) r^{-\alpha_i} > 0$ *for each* i, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\big(P_T f(y)\big)^p \le & P_T f^p(x) \cdot \exp\left[\frac{Cdp}{p-1} \frac{\Phi^2_{u,k}\left(T, \|x - y\|_1\right)}{T^{\kappa_1} \wedge T^{\kappa_2}}\right. \\ & \left. + C(p-1) \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{p \Phi^2_{u,k}\left(T, \|x - y\|_1\right)}{(p-1)^2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_i - 2H_i(1-\alpha_i)}} T^{-\frac{2H_i}{\alpha_i - 2H_i(1-\alpha_i)}}\right].\end{aligned}$$

iii) *If* (A) *holds for* $u(s) = cs$ *and some constant* $c > 0$, *then for* $T > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and all bounded Borel functions* $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$

$$|\nabla P_T f|^2(x) \le \left\{P_T f^2(x) - \big(P_T f(x)\big)^2\right\} \frac{Cd}{(T \wedge 1)^{\kappa_2}} \left(1 + c \int_0^T k(s)\, \mathrm{e}^{cK(s)}\, \mathrm{d}s\right)^2.$$

If, in addition, $\liminf_{r\downarrow 0} \phi_i(r) r^{-\alpha_i} > 0$ *for each* i, *then*

$$|\nabla P_T f|^2(x) \le \left\{P_T f^2(x) - \big(P_T f(x)\big)^2\right\} \frac{Cd}{T^{\kappa_1} \wedge T^{\kappa_2}} \left(1 + c \int_0^T k(s)\, \mathrm{e}^{cK(s)}\, \mathrm{d}s\right)^2.$$

If the $Z^{(i)}$ are inverse subordinators, we cannot expect that a power-Harnack inequality will hold, see Remark 3.7. Combining Lemma 3.5 with Theorem 4.2 i) & iii), we still have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.7. *Assume that* (A) *holds and that* $Z^{(i)}$ *is for each* $i = 1, \dots, d$ *an inverse subordinator with Bernstein function* ϕ_i.

Moreover, assume that $\limsup_{r\downarrow 0}\phi_i(r)r^{-\alpha_i}<\infty$ *and* $\limsup_{r\to\infty}\phi_i(r)r^{-\alpha_i}<\infty$ *for some* $\alpha_i>0$. *Let*

$$\kappa_3:=2\min_{1\le i\le d}H_i\alpha_i \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_4:=2\max_{1\le i\le d}H_i\alpha_i.$$

Then there exists some constant $C=C_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_d,H_1,\dots,H_d}>0$ *such that the following assertions* i), ii) *hold.*

i) *For* $T>0$, $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$ *and all bounded Borel functions* $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to[1,\infty)$

$$P_T\log f(y)\le \log P_Tf(x)+\frac{Cd}{T^{\kappa_3}\wedge T^{\kappa_4}}\,\Phi_{u,k}^2\left(T,\|x-y\|_1\right).$$

ii) *If* (A) *holds with* $u(s)=cs$ *for some constant* $c>0$, *then for* $T>0$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ *and all bounded Borel functions* $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$

$$|\nabla P_Tf|^2(x)\le\left\{P_Tf^2(x)-\big(P_Tf(x)\big)^2\right\}\frac{Cd}{T^{\kappa_3}\wedge T^{\kappa_4}}\left(1+c\int_0^T k(s)\,\mathrm{e}^{cK(s)}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^2.$$

References

[1] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang: Equivalent Harnack and gradient inequalities for pointwise curvature lower bound. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **138** (2014) 643–655.

[2] F. Biagini, Y. Hu, B. Øksendal, T. Zhang: *Stochastic Calculus for Fractional Brownian Motion and Applications*. Springer, London 2008.

[3] I. Bihari: A generalization of a lemma of Bellman and its application to uniqueness problems of differential equations. *Acta Math. Hung.* **7** (1956) 81–94.

[4] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang: Singular stochastic equations on Hilbert spaces: Harnack inequalities for their transition semigroups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **257** (2009) 992–1017.

[5] L. Decreusefond, A. S. Üstünel: Stochastic analysis of the fractional Brownian motion. *Potential Anal.* **10** (1998) 177–214.

[6] C.-S. Deng: Harnack inequalities for SDEs driven by subordinate Brownian motions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **417** (2014) 970–978.

[7] C.-S. Deng, R.L. Schilling: On shift Harnack inequalities for subordinate semigroups and moment estimates for Lévy processes. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **125** (2015) 3851–3878.

[8] X.-L. Fan: Harnack inequality and derivative formula for SDE driven by fractional Brownian motion. *Sci. China Math.* **56** (2013) 515–524.

[9] X.-L. Fan: Harnack type inequalities and applications for SDE driven by fractional Brownian motion. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **32** (2014) 602–618.

[10] X.-L. Fan: Bismut formulae and applications for stochastic (functional) differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motions. *Preprint*, arXiv: 1308.5309v2.

[11] J. Gajda, M. Magdziarz: Large deviations for subordinated Brownian motion and applications. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **88** (2014) 149–156.

[12] W. Linde, Z. Shi: Evaluating the small deviation probabilities for subordinated Lévy processes. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **113** (2004) 273–287.

[13] M.M. Meerschaert, E. Nane, Y. Xiao: Large deviations for local time fractional Brownian motion and applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **346** (2008) 432–445.

[14] I. Nourdin: *Selected Aspects of Fractional Brownian Motion*. Springer, Milan 2012.

[15] D. Nualart, Y. Ouknine: Regularization of differential equations by fractional noise. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **102** (2002) 103–116.

[16] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang: Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces and its consequences. *Inf. Dim. Anal. Quantum Probab. Rel. Top.* **13** (1997) 27–37.

[17] F.-Y. Wang: Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **109** (1997) 417–424.

[18] F.-Y. Wang: *Harnack Inequalities for Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*. Springer, New York 2013.

[19] F.-Y. Wang, J. Wang: Harnack inequalities for stochastic equations driven by Lévy noise. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **410** (2014) 513–523.
[20] L. Wang, X. Zhang: Harnack inequalities for SDEs driven by cylindrical α-stable processes. *Potential Anal.* **42** (2015) 657–669.
[21] X. Zhang: Derivative formula and gradient estimates for SDEs driven by α-stable processes. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **123** (2013) 1213–1228.

(C.-S. Deng) School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China
E-mail address: dengcs@whu.edu.cn

(R. L. Schilling) TU Dresden, Fachrichtung Mathematik, Institut für Mathematische Stochastik, 01062 Dresden, Germany
E-mail address: rene.schilling@tu-dresden.de